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KOMI CATTLE-BREEDING TRADITION

In the traditional Komi household, hunting and fishing were supplemented by cattle-breeding and agriculture, and the former was an essential prerequisite for the development of the latter: cattle were bred for manure, without which the scanty local soil was unsuitable for growing crops. In the conditions of the extreme north, where the indoor season makes up 7–8 months, cattle-breeding was a labour-intensive process, limited by the total surface area of grasslands and pastures. Traditionally, stock-rearing for the purposes of producing dairy products for personal consumption was very popular, while horses were bred for their draught power and as a means of transport, and sheep for their wool. For keeping cattle, special outbuildings were built (гид, иы, карта, гидня ‘cattle barn’), which were integrated into a residential compound: a warm one for cows and a cold one for sheep and horses (see Fig. 1–4). Cattle grazed for 4–5 months, being pastured without any supervision, and in a number of traditions, cattle would be sent out to summer pastures. Until the 1930s, the livestock number in households, depending on the size of the family and the total surface area
of grassland owned, amounted to 1–10 cows, 1–3 horses, and 3–15 sheep. After the introduction of collective property, the livestock population at individual farmsteads decreased. An increase in the livestock population of the cattle stock was observed in the 1990s, and in the recent decade there has been a sharp decline that is related to economic conditions and the agricultural situation in the country as a whole.

Figure 1–2. A particular type of house-yard in the south-eastern part of the Komi Republic (front and back views). Under one slope of the roof, there is the living space; under the other one, the utility space. On the lower level, there are cattle barns. Village of Nivshera, Kortkerossky District. Photograph by Liudmila Lobanova 2014.
In terms of spiritual culture, cattle-breeding was represented by a set of rituals, beliefs, legends, superstitions, prescriptions, bans, magical techniques, incantatory texts, etc., and on the whole, it is a ritualized activity. All of the actions pertaining to cattle management, even the most utilitarian and routine, can attain magical interpretation.
Cattle-breeding as a branch of traditional activities requires the mastery of not only a number of practical skills related to cattle management, but also the acquiring of certain knowledge that is popularly referred to as скот гогор тодом ‘knowledge about (literally ‘around’) cattle’. The mastering of such knowledge takes place after a change in social status, i.e., when a girl gets married, starts a family and a household, and becomes the mistress of the house. Most often the ‘knowledge about cattle’ (sometimes it is more specific, for instance, мос гогор тодыны ‘to know about (literally ‘around’) a cow’ involves mastering protective, productive, and remedial magic, including completing some ritual actions and saying incantatory texts:2

Порысясыд ёна скоттö виздэлсны и меным тоже передайтэмась, и me скотён же ола. Зөв унатор висставлісны, кор me ичет на вөлли, и зөв унатор кывлі. Миян ыджеьд мамис вөлли шуё, öйи женщина миян татён вөлёмä мос тодьсы, сёр пос вөмёнис стадыис ставнас миянладорис вөлли мунö неляымын кымын мос, и если кё пе me онй косья, шува пе кутшемке кыв, и став москыс пе татчи пласыт уяс, мыйла пё мос гогорис нинӧм оз тодыны, некутшем молитва пё москыслы абу лыддемась. Me пё онй порыс нин, да сийес оз нин воч. И вот, значит, ми, ония йөз, молитвайсэц мос гогорис нинӧм ог тодой, сэ вөсна вермасны тодысы да кужъсы йөз мосто весиг висьмодыны.3 Elderly people would keep lots of cattle, and they handed it over to me. I also breed cattle. They would say many different things when I was little. I heard many stories. My grandmother said that there had been a woman here who had magic knowledge of cows. Once when a herd of cattle, about forty cows, was crossing the bridge, she said that if she wanted she could say a word that would make the cows lie down flat, because [their owners] did not know anything about the cows, they had not said any prayers. But then she added that she was too old for that and would not do so. It means that we, the people of the present, do not know any prayers for our cattle, and those who know them and are able to use them can call illnesses upon the cattle.

The lack of such knowledge, an unusual or a more serious case, can lead to the need for turning to the sorcerer for help, whose knowledge, among other things, is determined by knowing incantatory words, i.e., нимкывë, which is also reflected in the name of such people, i.e., нимкыв видзысь, нымкывъя, нимкыв кутысь ‘incantation keeper’, who are ascribed with the abilities both to treat animals and to bedevil them.
(They said that Savva could bedevil a person or cattle?) Yes, they say he bedevilled many people. He was boasting about that. He said that he would wake up in the morning and go out to the front porch, and send an incantation, and the wind would blow it away (there is a forest behind the village of Kos, the Vaimös Forest, there is the Vaimös River, and the forest is also called Vaimös), and the wind would take the incantation into the forest, and if it hit a tree, it dried out. He was boasting that all of the people living in the vicinity of Likhachevka were burning dry wood and deadwood because of him, because the trees were drying out, and then they would cut them into firewood. He said that all of the inhabitants of Likhachevka were heating up their houses with his firewood.

SPECIFICATIONS OF SOURCES

This research is mainly based on field materials, recorded in the form of interviews with the carriers of the tradition on different topics related to the cattle-breeding tradition (cattle maintenance and management). In terms of content, the records are not observations of actual rituals (recording the ritual practice at the moment of its actualization), but oral descriptions of rituals (what people usually do when they let the cattle out to pasture), specific anecdotal evidence (how they treated a cow with a swollen udder, or how they brought a cow which had just been bought into a cowshed), memories, stories, edifications, etc. Without any doubt, a perfect option would have been observing a ritual and recording it at the moment of its actualization, but under the conditions of folkloric-ethnographic fieldwork, researchers rarely have a chance to witness and record actual ritual practice.
Material of this kind was also found in archival manuscripts,⁷ in published linguistic and folkloric material (see Uotila 2006; Rédei 1978), and in research concerning the studies of magical representations and incantatory texts (see Vetoshkina 1982; Kudryashova 1991; Sidorov 1997 [1928]). In addition to that, a considerable part of the material is represented in the form of incantatory texts, selected from the handwritten notebooks of informants.

When the material is recorded this way, it is impossible to differentiate between cattle-breeding rituals using the principle of existence or absence of the verbal level and study the variation of texts. At the same time, this format allows to analyse the context of the existence of incantatory texts and popular perceptions of the role played by a word.

THE PROBLEM OF DISTINGUISHING THE VERBAL COMPONENT

The majority of the material was recorded in the form of interviews, in which informants spoke about a ritual and described actions and events, while the verbal component would recede into the background. This was furthered by the type of a speech act itself as well as by the questions asked by the collector: What would you do if a cow did not give milk? How did you let cattle to pasture for the first time? This is why quite often additional questions had to be asked about the verbal component of a ritual.

(Кыдзи мöстö луадад медводдзаьсы лэдзан?) Сиьес вот мøстэ лэдзан, например, тулснаас лэдзан первой после зими, значит, миан сiйе тоже, ог тöд, коди висьталис бабiяс ли, сиьктаясис ли, тоже менам примета. Кор мёкъяс петэ, бёръя коктуйяссы мё гийяс бёр шыбита, мëд тёдны горт мóдас. Сiйа муас коле коктуйыс, и сiйе мусэ, мед и куйедас шаьмитас, куйедсэ сiйес гиийяс бёр шыбита. (Мый шувад?) Кыдзи коктуйыд сён олэ, сiдэ же мед воли локтэма татче. Ас кывъеснаад, вед дэйк же сiдэ, ас кывъесэн составитэма.⁸ (How do you let a cow to pasture for the first time?) When you let a cow to pasture for the first time, after winter, in spring, well, I don’t know who told me about that, grannies or home folk, but there is a superstition. When a cow leaves the cowshed, I throw the last mark of a trail back into the cowshed, so that it will know where its house is. Because this mark of a trail is in the soil, or in manure, and I throw that manure back into the cowshed. (What do you say when you do that?) Your trail goes to the cowshed, and you should come back to the cowshed. I say it in my own words, because I always say it in my own words.
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You bring pussy willow in, and on George’s Day they let them [the cattle] out into the pasture, and they whip them with pussy willow. (What do they say?) ‘Father George, save and protect the cattle’, something like that, I don’t know anything else.

In the majority of cases, incantatory texts would be perfectly incorporated into the stories of informants and described along with the actions. It was introduced with the help of a speech verb in direct or indirect speech, pointing at the synchronism of a word and action, and also less frequently at their sequence. For instance:

In addition, many verbs deliver the means of saying the verbal text: лыддыны ‘to read, reread, enumerate’, гордчыны, гордзыны, гордыштавны ‘to call out, to shout’, шопкодны ‘to whisper’. For instance:

‘Come home, cows, come home! Do not succumb to bad people, do not succumb to anyone. Let wolves and evil people not disturb you. Know your place, know your place!’ They used to shout it into a chimney on Holy Thursday.
In the stories of some performers different nominative names also surface, such as кывъяс ‘words’, нимкыв ‘invocation’, примета ‘superstition’, заэёнор ‘incantation’, молитва ‘prayer’, which are used as synonyms for designating an incantatory text. Additionally, incantatory texts can be named by the targeted object, for example, высомьсы ‘from a disease’, тышкодомьсы ‘from blight’, вомидзысь ‘from the evil eye’, eliminating or getting rid of what the text is aimed at. In this case such names as скотьсы ‘for (literally ‘from’) the cattle’, москысь ‘for (literally ‘from’) a cow’, мос локтоымьсы ‘for (literally ‘from’) the cow coming back’, which come up in the analogies listed above, on the basis of a ready-made language form, are of particular interest, and informants do not ponder on their meaning:

“Святые священномученики, Власия, молите бога о нас”. Тайо скотьсы молитва. Скотыд мыйке лоэ, да тайе молитчены.

‘Holy martyrs, Vlasia, pray to God for us.’ This is a prayer for (literally ‘from’) the cattle. They pray this way so that nothing bad would happen to the cattle.

Quite often incantatory texts are named after a Christian saint who is the addressee of the prayer, and also by the first word or the main motif of the text, which primarily helps to keep the text in the memory of the performer. For instance:

(Кор первойсы скоттэ лудо лэдзан, мый вочан?) Но кутышкок молитва льддян “Воскресной молитва”, сэсё Ёгорей, шестое, сэн кутышкок молитва вийым да, вийым, вийым, дум вэл лэ оз усь, сразу дум вэл оз усь. Ёгорейслён на вийым кутышкок молитва … “Христос с тобой Ёгорий храбрый, прими мою животину на всё полное лето и спаси их!”

(What do they do when they let the cattle out to pasture for the first time?) Then you should say some kind of prayer, for instance, a Sunday prayer, then George, on the sixth, there is also a prayer of some kind, there definitely is, but I can’t think of it right now. There is another prayer addressing George: [what follows is the text of the original prayer] … ‘Let Christ be with you, you brave George, take my cattle for the whole summer and protect them!’

GENRE VARIETIES

Incantatory texts that function within cattle-breeding rituals can be divided into five categories: sorcery, ritual dialogues, incantations, lamentations, and prayers. In terms of the latter, I mean canonical prayers that are said within cattle-breeding rituals (see the text cited above as an example). I will discuss them in detail below.

The main problem consists in distinguishing between sorcery and incantations, since the same texts are referred to as sorcery in one group of studies and as incantations in another. In Russian folklore studies, the trend is the following. If an incantation is used in the meaning of an ‘incantatory text’ and means a verbal means of magical impact, sorcery is not distinguished. When distinguishing between incantations and sorcery, a number of attributes that specify them as oppositions are used as a basis: dimensional texts with a complex structure and a carefully structured composition vs. short verbal statements; incantations prevail over action vs. sorceries are secondary, producible, dependent on the action; belong to the professional environment, arcane knowledge, sacral persons vs. common household knowledge; a part of a special ritual vs. being a part of practical ritual actions. However, when turning to some specific folklore material, categories become blurred, some transitional forms appear, and researchers tend to use one common term, which is ‘incantations’ (Fedosova 2007). In the current article, sorcery and incantations are regarded not as oppositions, but as different forms and means of verbal and magical impact, which can be singled out on the basis of the material related to the Komi cattle-breeding tradition. But we should specify right away that the list of genre variations provided above does not include all possible variations, since there are texts with unidentified particular genre characteristics (when the text is divorced from some ritual context) as well as transitional and contaminated forms.

Sorcery

The largest part of recorded texts are defined as sorcery, i.e., short verbal formulas that accompany various practical activities aimed at keeping and managing cattle (shearing sheep, milking cows, letting the cattle to pasture, etc.), communicating the ritual and magical function to the utilitarian practice, or being a part of a special ritual which accomplishes a producing and apotropaic function (cows are whipped with pussy willow branches for good health; milk is criss-crossed to protect it from bewitchment, etc.).
First of all, there is sorcery expressed in interjections. They are included in a category of imperative interjections (will expressions) and used for calling an animal to behave in a certain way or stop doing something. In some cases, vocative interjections, which are used to call or drive animals away, are distinguished into a separate group (Popova 2000: 522).

Тоölö (dial. төлэ) (SSKZD 1961: 375) is a call to a cow while milking it, which expresses the order to stop moving and stand still. The etymology of the word is unclear, and it might originate from the word өлөд ‘to stop, calm down’.

Тоölмо (dial. тайма, татбы) is a call to the cow, addressing the cow (SSKZD 1961: 363), mainly used to call the cows to come back home from the pasture, and this is what cattle owners say while driving cows into a shed. The etymology is unclear: there might be a relation to adverbs, pronouns, and particles with emphatic-intensifying and demonstrative semantics: той ‘this one’, татбо ‘over here’, тай ‘right here’.17

Вала-вала is an exclamation used to call cows to a watering place (Zhilina 1975: 161).

Тпры is an exclamation used to stop a horse while driving it, or they would shout it trying to calm the horse down, while approaching or examining it.

Өсьт-өсьт (dial. төсь-төсэ, өсьтө-өсьтө, өсьта-өсьта) is an exclamation used to call sheep (SSKZD 1961: 267). The etymology is unclear. In modern speech in similar cases the particle өлөмө өлөмө is most commonly used; it is a derivative from өлө ‘a lamb’.

In the last adaptation, at the suggestion of linguists, the common Permic stem *kon ‘a calf’ is preserved (Lytkin & Gulyaev 1999 [1970]: 133). Foals are called with an exclamation чыб-чыб (dial. чыбо-чыбө, чыбе-чыбе) (SSKZD 1961: 410), which is a derivative from a word чыб ‘a foal’. Some of the interjections come across in the form of addresses in incantatory texts and will be described below.

Sorcery mainly consists of a single statement and is structured according to the model of directives, using imperative verb forms. On the basis of modern material, the overview of which is provided above, no specific popular name for such statements can be singled out. In earlier records of this kind, verbal and ritual actions were referred to as өрдчыны.
The verb вордны in the Komi language often comes across as a dialectal adaptation of the verbs сины ‘wish, predetermine’, and тунавны ‘predict, foretell’ (SSKZD 1961: 60, 337, 381), and it can also be used in the meaning ‘to charm, to tell one’s fortune’. Unlike dialectal adaptations, etymologically this verb originates from the word вор ‘faculty of speech’ (Lytkin & Gulyaev 1999 [1970]: 63), which is why вордчены can be translated as ‘to foretell’. In spite of the fact that this function is not expressed in modern recordings, it sticks to similar verbal-magical acts. Their purpose is to speak out the desired course of events, the expected outcome. These texts are accompanied by a number of certain actions of practical or magical nature, which is why we define them as sorcery.

In spite of a large diversity of sorcery, two structural types can be singled out. At the basis of sorcery with a closed structure (self-contained phrasal utterances – Grigory Permyakov (1988: 95); incantatory formulas – Valentina Kharitonova (1992: 3–37)) lie clichés consisting of two parts that can be related in the following way:

– Oppositions: ‘Go away as a rabbit, come back as an owl’, in which predicates (go away – come) and objects (rabbit – owl) are in opposition, whereas rabbit and owl are in opposition on the grounds of their level of fluffiness: a rabbit has short and thin fur, while the feathers of an owl are long and thick. Also, for example, the sorcery of Бөр туйсэ вунэд, воол туйсэ вөм ‘Forget the road back, think of the road ahead’, which is said when driving a cow that has just been bought into a cowshed. These statements are metaphorical.

– Comparisons, in which the first part of the text (how..., where...) describes the original ritual situation and actualizes the required attribute, and in the second part, the desired situation is simulated:

Кыдз тая щепкасö тувъялi да оз вöрзъы, сиджэö мöскыслысь мем гэд немтор оз ворзъöд.22

[I] have hammered the chip into the hole, and nothing will happen to my cow.
Just like this ash is scattered and disappears into thin air, let the evil eye be removed from my horse (Uotila 2006: 312).

Matyönka Tusya (literally ‘a little berry’), where your mane and tail are, that is where your body [will be] at night.

The sorcery that was performed in the form of ritual motivations has also been included in this group.

Tree branches picked at Pentecost were taken back home and put near the icons, and cows were whipped with them so that they would be as healthy and vigorous as Pentecost tree branches.

So that you would be as healthy as pussy willow catkins, my darlings.

Another type is the sorcery with an open structure (non-self-contained phrasal utterances in Permyakov 1988). The sorcery of this kind can be grouped according to addressees. In the first group of sorcery, the addressees are animals. Below you can see some examples of sorcery from different sources said while shearing sheep, which show the open-type structure of such texts, i.e., from short paroemia to the statements that contain addressees and enumerations:

A sheep is tapped on its head while being sheared: ‘Know the right time for shearing.’

When shearing sheep, women would say: ‘Sheep, sheep, know the right time for shearing, don’t get caught by a wolf, jump over the iron fence, give birth to a couple of lambs.’
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(Шыригас нинэм оз вёлі шуавны?)
A вед нинэм, шыригас только
шыран воропен колскебта, Агниес
тийкта же “Шыран кад тёд /
көрт потышысэд чеччышт / лёк
Ёмалы эн сетчи.”

Шырны заводитигас юрас таркедэны
шыраннас. “Пась кодь яя / сюръя
код нэма / көртэн-пуртэн вийтэг
/ эн кув.”

(Weren’t they saying anything while
shearing?) Nothing, I just tap their
heads with the handle of the scissors
after I have finished, and I make Agnia
daughter] do that, too: ‘Know the right
time for shearing, jump over the iron
fence, don’t get caught by Baba Yaga.’

At the beginning of shearing, they tap
[a sheep] on the head with scissors. ‘Be
as furry as a fur coat, as long-lived as
a column, don’t be butchered with iron-
knife, don’t die.’

The sorcery cited above, which was performed while shearing sheep, com-
municates the model of animal behaviour, on the basis of which the practical
meaning of the ritual can be singled out, i.e., to keep the animal alive until the next
shearing (‘know the right time for shearing’, ‘jump over the iron fence / the hedge
with seven stack stands’, ‘don’t get caught by Baba Yoma’31, ‘don’t get stuck
between thin poles’, ‘don’t get caught by a dog’, ‘be as long-lived as a column’,
‘don’t be butchered with an iron knife’, ‘don’t die’), to improve its functional
characteristics, in particular, its woolliness (‘know the right time for shearing’,
‘bring good wool to your master’), and fertility (‘give birth to a couple of lambs’).

A peculiar feature of sorcery performed on animals is the match of
the addressee and the recipient. It can be noticed that in the majority of cases, both
the addressee and the recipient are omitted at the verbal level, but an emphasis
is placed on them through the action that either precedes or accompanies the
text. In our examples, a sheep is tapped on its head with scissors. And only
two texts contain the exclamation ёсьт-ёсьт, used to call sheep. At the same
time, the sorcery that accompanies ritual actions connected with cows was
always marked by the name and colour of the animal with such additions as
матушка, матёнка ‘mother’, рабича божья ‘servant of God’, which represent
a respectful attitude to the cow and its position in the household, on the one
hand, and ritual speech, on the other hand:

Бласлё Кристос, Лунашка-матушка, давай эн сетчы скётлы, это
лёк йёз вылё, эн веськав, лючки.

Bless us, Christ, mother Lunashka (literally ‘Day, Little Day’), don’t get
captured by an animal, don’t get caught by bad people, [let things come]
right.
The addressees of other kinds of sorcery are the Christian saints George, Blaise, Haralampus, Modest, Anastasia, Florus, and Laurus, who act as protectors and guardians of cattle and cattle-breeding in popular perceptions, and are sometimes referred to as the ‘cattle gods’, while their commemorative days are called вёв праздник ‘horse day’, вёв нимлун ‘horse name day’, мөслы молебен лун ‘cow prayer service day’, etc. Sorcery of this kind can contain the following elements: an address, the kernel form, a recipient, and enumerations.

Ёгор-батюшко, скётс видз-хранит.33
Father George, save and protect my cattle.

Святы Ласей, святы Настався, святы Модес, святы Харлампия! Видзей да бережитай менсьым Милка-матушкаэс став лёксъыс, став притчасьыс, гымысь, ваьсь, биьсь, завидь синъясьсъыс.34
Saint Blaise, Saint Anastasia, Saint Modest, Saint Haralampus! Save and protect our mother Milka from anything bad, from any kind of evil, disaster, thunder, water, fire, or evil eye.

Господи благослови, святый Уласей! Сохрани и бережи от всяких хишных зверей и от злых людей.
Bless me, oh, Lord! Oh, Saint Blaise! Protect the cattle from wild animals and evil people.35

Визюк ли Серед матушкалы, Господе, сет здоровье, избавит лёк йоксьыс, лёкыс-притчась, ыджыд милостьнад.36
Vizyuk or Sered (literally ‘Spotty, Black and White’), oh, Lord, bless the mother, save her from evil people, from bad and evil, at your greatest mercy.

The addressee of the third group of sorcery is the mythological character of the домовoi. In the popular view, the домовoi takes care of the well-being and fertility of the inhabitants of the cowshed, but it can also do damage to cattle if norms or rules are violated. As a rule, sorcery connected with the домовoi is performed with the following rituals:

– Moving to a new cowshed:
Домовой-дворей, батюшка-матушка, кормилица-маменька, кудз ассъыныд димтяснынд тёмлушаныд да жалейтапыд, сидз жэ и мисъым пар скотинанымөс любито и жалейтӧ, пӧитӧ и кӧрмито.37
Dömövöi, dvörölei, father and mother, foster mother, just as you love and pity your own children, please love and pity our cattle, give them food and drink.
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- Bringing home an animal that has just been bought or a new-born animal:

Дедушко-батюшко, дедушко-матушко! Я тебе гостиницы принёс. Моя скотину корми, пои да на мясо глади, да приголубь и шерсть очищай.

Grandfather-father, grandfather-mother! I have brought you some gifts. Give my cow food and drink, caress it and clean its fur.38

It should be pointed out here that sorcery addressing the domovoi was recorded in the Komi Priluzsky and Udorsky traditions, which are marked by close contacts with the Russian population of Kirov Oblast and Arkhangelsk Oblast.

The texts of sorcery are distinguished from ordinary speech and speech acts in terms of the ritual character of situations and the existence of rhyme, rhythm, and repetitions (Славной Ёгорей, благославной Ёгорей, сохрани-береже ‘great George, blessed George, save and protect us’), enumerations (любито и жалейто, поито и кормито ‘love and pity, give food and drink’), diminutive forms (дедушко-батюшко, кормиличао-маменькао ‘grandfather-father, foster mother’), and metaphors in texts.

Incantations

Incantations have a complex structure and composition and consist of several statements that are said in the course of a specific ritual situation. The semantics of the majority of incantations can be determined as rehabilitating, since they are aimed at improving and restoring the normal condition of domestic animals and are said in order to ‘cure a sick animal’, ‘to bring back home a cow that spends a night in an unfamiliar place’, etc.

The texts of incantations were recorded in contact areas that are representative of both the Priluzsky and Udorsky traditions, and in their linguistics and imagery they are close to the northern Russian tradition. Mainly these texts can be found in handwritten notebooks of informants alongside couplets, songs, and canonical prayers, which on the whole allows these notes to be designated as reminders in order to remember something. Some informants pointed out that they were repeating texts from the words of their mother or another woman who had good knowledge ‘about cattle’. Besides, in their recorded form, the texts could also be passed over by the carriers of that knowledge. Whenever appropriate, incantatory texts were re-read, reconstructed from memory, or learned by heart. Let us have a closer look at several examples.
Мос локтъмъсь.
Во имя отца – 3 раза
На правой руке каменной стена
На левой руке железного стына
на переде высокой гоара
раба божия Серук, мос
моз вермы мунны
чужой пюскотинаи.
чужой картай.39
чужой козяйкалои.40
Старый козяйкалои сёян-юаныс
твёрдой камень да лыс.
Виль козяйкалои сёян-юаныс
свежой трава да мягкой хлеб.

For (literally ‘from’) bringing back the cow.
In the name of the Father – [repeated] 3 times
A stone wall on the right hand,
An iron fence on the left hand,
A high mountain ahead.
The Lord’s servant cow Syeruk,
Let it not go far away,
To somebody else’s pasture,
To somebody else’s yard.
To another master.
The old master has food and drink,
A hard stone and pine needles.
The new master has food and drink,
Fresh grass and soft bread.

Figure 5. An incantatory text in the handwritten notebook of Nina Matveeva, born in 1931 (FFA: 28_06_78-79). Village of Yortom, Udorsky District. Photograph by Liudmila Lobanova 2011.
The following structural parts can be distinguished in the text:

– Exposition, which was not recorded in full but can be reconstructed as ‘in the name of the Father and the Son and the Holy Spirit’, is repeated three times;

– Narrative part: “На правой руке каменной стены / на левой руке железного стына / на переде высокой горы.”


Figure 6. An incantatory text in the handwritten notebook of Nina Matveeva, born in 1931 (FFA: 28_06-142). Village of Yortom, Udorsky District. Photograph by Liudmila Lobanova 2011.
According to the writings made between the lines and the comments of the informant provided by her during the interview, this incantation was used both when buying a cow and when letting it to the pasture.

The next text in the notes of the same informant (Fig. 6) refers to the cases when incantations are recorded in order to pass them on to someone else. It should be pointed out that, unlike other notes in the notebook of the informant, the text was written in different handwriting and on a separate piece of faded paper. While commenting on the notes, the informant mentioned that this incantation would be said whenever something happened to the cattle, and the text was recorded and passed on to her by an old woman from the village of Krestovo:

Господи Боже свят Уласей, помолимся и поклонимся! И стань ко мне, рабыче божие (имя) Музе скотине и животине, телёне и балке. Святы и боже тоже постав бога вокруг дома реки огненые, стены каменые, стены железные от 200 киланет. От земли и до небес, вовеки веков. Амин.

My God My God Saint Ulasey, we pray and bow! Stand near me, the servant of God (name) the Muze, cattle and animals, calves and sheep. My Saint God, also do put the fiery river around the house, stone walls, iron walls from 200 kilometres (?). From the earth and to heaven, for ever and ever. Amen.

**Ritual dialogue**

The verbal level in certain rituals is represented by a dialogue between the two participants of the ritual, and it consists of two or three components. Ritual dialogues in the Slavic tradition have been studied by Nikita Tolstoi (1984, 1993). They have been classified and distributed into eleven topic groups. One of the groups combines ritual dialogues with the magical function of ‘keeping fowl and cattle at home’. The main purpose of the rituals within this group is domesticating fowl and cattle before the start of the pasture period. In the majority of the Slavic traditions, such rituals were timed with Christmas rites, and in the northern Slavic tradition they coincided with Holy Thursday or were performed occasionally (Tolstoi 1984: 26–30; 1993: 85–87).

Such rituals were recorded in the southern districts of the Komi Republic. They were held on the morning of Holy Thursday with the purpose of domesticating the cattle. One of the participants went out into the yard or the hayloft and took a cow around the house (Konakov 1993: 56), and another one stayed
at home, and they were talking with each other: *Are the cows at home? – They are! They are!* – *Have the sheep come back? – They have!* (Komi Calendar 2002: 67) It was believed that after that, the cows would always come back home from the pasture. Similar rituals were held in cowsheds at state-owned farms. On the morning of Holy Thursday, after coming to the cattle yard, milkmaids were talking with each other: *Мөъяс ставыс абу? – Ставыс-ставыс!* ‘Have all of the cows come back? – Yes, all of them!’, to make sure cows come back from the pasture and do not get lost.

Other recorded ritual dialogues are aimed at the treatment of diseases among people and cattle. Tolstoi, while studying this group, points out the ‘incantatory’ nature and distribution of these rituals among the Russians as well as the diversity of the means of exterminating illnesses, which are fumigated, burned, chopped, evaporated, etc. (Tolstoi 1984: 45–50; 1993: 92–98). Ritual dialogues said while fumigating a cow were recorded in the Komi tradition. In some cases, the ritual was held on the third day of the calving. ‘The mistress took a bowl, put a juniper spur into it, a couple of single hairs from her head, moss from three corners of the house, a chip from the threshold of the house, some hay from her shoes, and a piece of frankincense. Then she burned all of those components in the cowshed, and gave a whiff of the smoke to the cow and the calf, and then she walked around them three times. While she was doing that, she was talking to an imaginary interlocutor: 

– *Мый тшынан,*  
Мый тшынан?  
– *Вомидзкыв тшына.*  
Тфу вомидз курваэс  
Ёна колэ тшынны.  

(Vetoshkina 1982: 89–90)

The researcher determined the purpose of performing the ritual as ‘protecting a domestic animal from blight with the help of the smoke’ (ibid.).

In other adaptations, the smoke purification ritual was held with the purpose of throwing off an illness that had developed as a result of someone putting a curse on the animal. The range of objects used for purifying the animal included juniper collected on Holy Thursday and kept in the cowshed as a protective charm; pike teeth; chips from the threshold of the cowshed; cobwebs collected in the corners of the cowshed; the wool of the animal that was being purified or that was sheared from the tail base, forehead, or tail; and hay. The set of objects was set on fire, and one of the participants in the ritual asked
questions, while the other one, who was walking around the cow against the sun, answered them:

– Кодэс тишанан?
– Кекуре ки вомидзес, уrekивомидзес.

Кытысь волин, бо́р сэтче мун, пиняд бо́жтэ курччи да тодын лойси.45

– Who are you purifying?
– I’m purifying against the evil eye. Go back from where you arrived, bite your tail with your teeth and wallow in the bog.

The last two ritual dialogues have closing sentences, i.e. ‘fasteners’. In the first case, the ‘fastener’ reinforces the action, while in the second case, it finishes the treatment ritual by sending the illness away.

**Lamentation**

In spite of the fact that I only have two examples of such texts at my disposal,46 I can have a look at their characteristics. The Komi lamentation tradition is regarded as being quite well-developed. There are local lamentation traditions and genre variations. For instance, in the Izhemsky Komi tradition, there are not only funeral and wedding lamentations, but also lullabies and calendar and labour lamentations (Filippova 2002).

(Lamentation for a cow)

Come, mother, come, Syurka (literally ‘Horned’),

Come, come, shöö darling,

Puksi shöö, shöö,

shö-shö-shö-shö-shöö,

Come home, darling, home,

Home, home, mother,

Home, home, with delicious milk,

She’s coming home, mooing, she knows her lady and knows her voice. She will come by her lady’s voice and moo, and her calf will moo back.

(Möслы бордээм)

Тайтö, матиö, тайтö, Сюрка, Тайтö, тайтö, шöö мада

Пукси шöö, шöö

шö-шö-шö-шö-шöö

Гортö мадээ, гортö,

Гортö, гортö, матиö

Гортö, гортö, чёскыд йöлаэ

Гортэ, гортэ, мадээ.

Гортэ локтэ, баксэ, тöдэ кёзыйка, и локтэ шыас мёсыйсе. Мадээ бара шыас воис и бакестас, и кукйыс помлasy.

(Lamentation for a cow)

Come, mother, come, Syurka (literally ‘Horned’),

Come, come, shöö darling,

Puksi shöö, shöö,

shö-shö-shö-shö-shöö,

Come home, darling, home,

Home, home, mother,

Home, home, with delicious milk,

She’s coming home, mooing, she knows her lady and knows her voice. She will come by her lady’s voice and moo, and her calf will moo back.
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The recorded lamentations are proximal to lullabies. It is interesting to point out that in the first case, the text is called мос дзонътым ‘lamentation for a cow’, while in the second case it is referred to as мос дзонътым, using a verbal noun derived from the verb дзонътыны ‘to fix, make it whole again’, which also means ‘to caress’ in the Izhemsky dialect of the Komi language. The function of the text can be regarded as caressing the animal to keep it healthy.

CONCLUSION

On the basis of the material collected about the Komi cattle-breeding tradition, represented mainly in the form of modern notes, different forms of incantatory texts can be distinguished. Proceeding from their formal characteristics, I have divided them into five categories. But we should not forget that these texts represent the verbal level of a ritual, and in order to carry out a thorough analysis, the semantics and structure of the ritual itself should also be studied, and the interrelations between the actional and object-specific codes of rituals must be identified. In addition to that, the comments of informants, the so-called ‘speech context’, and the poetics of the texts, which should be analysed in order to study the verbal level of cattle-breeding rituals, were left without consideration.

ABBREVIATIONS

AAC – Author’s audio collection
FA of SSU – Folklore Archive of Syktyvkar State University
FF of ILLH – Folklore Archive of the Institute of Language, Literature, and History
NOTES

1 Protective house spirit.

2 It is comparable with the notion of ас гөгөр төдны ‘knowledge about (literally ‘around’) yourself’, челядь гөгөр төдны ‘knowledge about (literally ‘around’) children’, and it means the preservation of integrity and health on the whole, and is based on the preventive aspect of the incantatory Komi tradition (Panyukov 2009: 166–204).


4 Нимкыв means an incantation, an incantatory act (Ulyashev 1999; Panyukov 2009: 166–204).

5 Recorded by L. Lobanova in the village of Cheksha, Noshulsky village soviet, Priluzsky District, on 23 June 2006, from Aleksandr Vakhnin, born in 1928 (AAC).

6 See Neklyudov 2011 about the differences of ritual practice and information about it.

7 Material collected by Pavel Savvaitov, dating back to the middle of the nineteenth century, is archived in the Manuscript Department of the Russian National Library (St. Petersburg); material collected by Vasily P. Nalimov at the beginning of the twentieth century is preserved in the Archive of the Finno-Ugric Society (Suomalais-Ugrilaisen Seuran Arkisto); the folkloric-ethnographic material collected by the students of the Pedagogical College in the 1930s is preserved at the National Museum of the Komi Republic; the material of folkloric-ethnographic and linguistic fieldwork collected by the staff of the Komi Scientific Centre in the 1960–1980s.
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Recorded by L. Lobanova on 23 in the village of Yortom, Udorsky District, on 23 June 2011, from Nina Matveeva, born in 1931 (AAC).

They mean the prayer ‘Let God rise’.


The specified meaning of the particle maâi, which occurs in the Izhemsky dialect, is specified in the work of Galina Fedyuniiova with the indication of the possible etymology of this particle (Fedyuniiova 2009: 72).

Recorded by L. Lobanova on 23 in the village of Yortom, Udorsky District, on 23 June 2011, from Nina Matveeva, born in 1931 (AAC).


The definitions of closed structure and open structure were formulated by V. Kharitonova (1992).

In the Komi language there is one word for wool and down feather.


Recorded by L. Lobanova in the village of Yortom, Udorsky District, on 23 June 2011, from Nina Matveeva, born in 1931 (AAC).


Recorded by G. Savelyeva & A. Panyukov in the village of Nivshera, Kortkerossky District, in 1996, from Maria Mikhailova, born in 1922; and from Agnia Zhizheva, born in 1937 (FF of ILLH: B1102-41).

Yoma is a Komi folkloric and mythological character. In this case Yoma acts as a marker of a foreign space as opposed to the sheep’s own household.

Recorded by L. Lobanova in the village of Kuliga, Spasporubsky village soviet, Priluzsky District, on 22 June 2005, from Maria Fomina, born in the village of Shpal in 1928 (AAC).


Recorded by L. Lobanova in the village of Yortom, Udorsky District, on 28 June 2011, from Nina Matveeva, born in 1931 (AAC).


Recorded by V. Arefyeva in the village of Chuprovo, Udorsky District, in 2011, from N. M. Shlopova, born in 1946 (AHC).

Recorded by V. Arefyeva in the village of Muftyuga, Chuprovsky village council, Udorsky District, in 2011, from Nina Fedorova, born in 1955 (AHC).

Added in different handwriting in black ink.

Added above the next handwriting in blue ink.

Recorded by L. Lobanova in the village of Yortom, Udorsky District, on 28 June 2011, from Nina Matveeva, born in 1931 (AAC).

The village of Krestovo was a part of the Yortomsky village soviet. This place is remarkable in that it held the status of a religious centre. A monastery stood here once, on the territory of which the first church in Yortom village was founded.

The rituals that were held on Holy Thursday are described in detail in Lobanova 2012.


I am grateful to the staff of the Folklore Archive of the Institute of Language, Literature and History, Galina Savelyeva and Anatoly Panyukov, for providing me with these texts and for the opportunity to study them.

Recorded by A. Mikushev and P. Chistalyov in the village of Brykalansk (Kotlas), Izhemsky District, in 1960, from Ulyana Smetanina, 65 years old, and from Anna Smetanina (FF of ILLH: K-45: 12).
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