ON JUNE 28, 2012, Dalia Zaikauskienė defended her doctoral thesis *Lietuvių Paremijos XX–XXI a. Sandūroje: tradicija ir inovacija* (Lithuanian Paremias at the Turn of the 20th-21st Centuries: Tradition and Innovation) at the Council of Folklore Studies of Vilnius University. The research was carried out under the supervision of Dr. Lilija Kudirkienė (Institute of Lithuanian Literature and Folklore), and the opponents were professor Gražina Kazlauskienė (Vytautas Magnus University) and docent Bronė Stundžienė (Institute of Lithuanian Literature and Folklore).

Dalia Zaikauskienė has been working in the research group of paremiology at the Institute of Lithuanian Literature and Folklore since 1997, being one of the compilers and editors of the publication *Lietuvių patarlės ir priežodžiai* (Lithuanian proverbs and proverbial phrases) (I–II, 2000–2008). Her doctoral thesis consists in the systematisation of Lithuanian proverbs and proverbial phrases and the formation of an electronic database.

The following overview is based on the English summary of the doctoral thesis.

Dalia Zaikauskienė is convinced that the proverb or paremia (the term that the author prefers) is a living genre, which is part of contemporary communication just like it was centuries ago. The study embraces both the old layer of paremias and the new paremiological phenomena, i.e., both old and new texts constitute the objects of research. In terms of temporal limits, the empirical material comes from the 20th and 21st centuries. The author aims at describing the relationship between tradition and innovation not as spontaneous and chaotic but rather as systematic, i.e., liable to classification. The main objectives of the research are as follows:

1) Summarise the materials of the modern paremiological research and determine the spheres of usage of Lithuanian paremias;
2) Describe structural, functional and semantic features typical of the contemporary usage of paremias;
3) Compare Lithuanian paremiological phenomena with international data.

The author has repeatedly emphasised that Lithuanian folklorists have only recently started to collect and explore modern culture, focusing on the changes in traditional folklore. Dalia Zaikauskienė maintains that contemporary material should be treated as a continuation of tradition and she also presents her research material as such. To some extent, her research helps to fill in the gap in modern Lithuanian paremiology
caused by disregard of modern culture. The author also hopes that both the accumulated research data and the theoretical insights as well as the peculiarities of modern usage situations and the shifts in the world view should be of interest to sociologists, researchers of culture and psychologists.

Predominantly, the sources of empirical material are periodicals from the past couple of decades as well as other media and also the Internet. To a smaller extent, the research deals with literary texts. The majority of the material has been collected by the author specifically for the purposes of this research. In addition, she has also used voluminous archival material: the card-file catalogue of Lithuanian proverbs and proverbial phrases at the Lithuanian Folklore Archives, the electronic database of Lithuanian proverbs and proverbial phrases (www.aruodai.lt/patarles/), the electronic data archive of the Department of Ethnology and Folkloristics at Kaunas Vytautas Magnus University (http://etnologijadb.vdu.lt), the data collected in 2003–2004 by the students of the Department of Lithuanian Language at Vilnius University, etc. The novel material – anti-paremias – presented as an appendix to the dissertation is also remarkable. In total, 1500 variants of anti-paremias (antiparemijos) and 670 variants of new paremias (naujosios paremijos) were collected for research purposes.

Dalia Zaikauskienė’s dissertation consists of introduction, four chapters, conclusions, bibliography, the list of the author’s publications on the dissertation theme and the appendix entitled Dictionary of Anti-Paremias. The dissertation presents as hypotheses the following statements:

1) If folklore tradition is considered to be a continuous process, including aspects of change, the usage of paremias at the turn of the 20th/21st centuries should be regarded as a natural part of this process, which has preserved features characteristic of the old usage as well as acquired new features and new means of expression; the main indication of paremias as living folklore is the need of modern man to use them also today;

2) Anti-paremias and new paremias have common features with the traditional ones in form, content and functions;

3) The creation of anti-paremias and the occurrence of new paremias can be regarded as the development processes of proverbs, rather than spontaneous and accidental phenomena;

4) Changes occurring in the corpus of Lithuanian paremias are determined by both internal (development of paremias) and external (social, historical, communicational) factors;

5) The ‘incursion’ of new paremic formations is part and parcel of the global process.

In the first chapter the author gives an overview of the most important works by foreign paremiologists, which focus on the contemporary usage of paremias. Two aspects of folklore tradition are particularly emphasised in this work: traditionality as the most typical, defining feature of paremia, and tradition as a continuous process comprising aspects of both stability and change. The starting point for the author is the complex notion of traditionality, which comprises the most typical and stable indications of form, artistic image and usage. Innovation is understood as the new expressive means of paremias, new spheres of usage and new features determined by contemporary usage, i.e., new functions and specific modern wording.
The concept of paremia is used by Dalia Zaikauskienė not only to denote proverbs and proverbial phrases, but also in a broader meaning: it comprises situational sayings, formulas of etiquette, phraseological units, aphorisms, maxims and literary quotations. In the first chapter the author introduces all the short sayings constituting the category of paremia, focussing on the three types of paremias within the temporal limits of the 20th and 21st centuries: traditional paremias, anti-paremias (in international terminology anti-proverbs) and new paremias.

As the most popular spheres of functioning, the author mentions common speech and journalism, as well as advertising and various spheres of entertainment. While earlier on, the spread of literary language (textbooks, periodicals) contributed to the dissemination of proverbs, then the contemporary corpus of Lithuanian paremias has been most strongly affected by the mass media. The analysis of the classical repertoire of Lithuanian paremias has highlighted the following tendencies in their development: 1) narrowing or widening of the semantic field; 2) demetaphorisation of the artistic image; 3) appearance of antithetic versions; 4) increase of comic effect; 5) appearance of the extended versions or shortening of the paremias; 6) appearance of contaminations; 7) enhancement of the artistic effect of poetic images by means of phonic organisation of phrases. The author also presents an overview of the functions of Lithuanian traditional paremiological units, claiming that proverbs preserve their typical ‘inner’ functions (pedagogical, didactic, psychotherapeutic) also while performing in individual situations.

In the second chapter the author describes the usage of popular traditional paremias in the 20th and 21st centuries, hereby distinguishing between two different ways of usage – canonic and creative – and giving descriptions of both. The anti-paremias that are dwelt upon in the third chapter are described by Dalia Zaikauskienė as modifications of traditional proverbs. It is remarkable that until today Lithuanian paremiology has not paid any attention to this kind of texts. In her work the author reveals the international character of this phenomenon, emphasising the influence of other, particularly Russian- and English-speaking cultures, on the contemporary (late 20th and early 21st centuries) corpus of Lithuanian paremias. Dalia Zaikauskienė differentiates between diverse patterns of creating anti-paremias. The author’s approach to the different nature of anti-paremic texts is also remarkable: the ones preserving the most general meaning of the basic paremia, with only a few shifts in form, are regarded as variants of the basic paremia, whereas others that are meant to achieve comic effect are called anti-paremic texts. The most popular way of creating Lithuanian anti-paremias is equal lexical substitution (in the case of two-part proverbs) and extending of the traditional paremia by a comment or reference. Today the creativity of the authors of such texts can be encountered mainly on internet websites.

As the third type of paremias, in the fourth chapter, Dalia Zaikauskienė describes the so-called new paremias. The new paremia can be identified by comparison with traditional paremias. These are utterances similar to classical paremias, which have acquired independence and certain stability of contents and form. Part of the new paremias are shorter and simpler by their structure than the traditional ones. Usually they are devoid of artistic image and can rather be understood literally, and have only associative connection with the context in which they are used. Dalia Zaikauskiene determines diverse material as types of new paremias: texts deriving from anti-paremias, translations, slogans, quotations, phrases of established authorship, and utterances similar to traditional...
The author declares that in everyday speech the usage of new paremias is similar to that of traditional ones, yet their functional peculiarities and spheres of usage are more situational. Similar to anti-paremias, this kind of utterances are encountered mainly in the press, internet portals and comments, as well as advertising.

From the final conclusions of Dalia Zaikauskienė’s doctoral thesis, the following aspects should be mentioned.

1. Not only classical paremias belonging to the old layer should be regarded as paremias, but also their diverse modifications and the new paremia-like utterances. In modern society oral and written tradition are interrelated, and the spread of paremias is greatly affected by modern technologies. The usage of such texts is unrestricted and creative and largely improvisational, as it is not so much the appropriate use of paremias that is important, but rather their smart and inventive adaptation to language use. Lithuanian paremias of the recent decades are diverse in terms of form, content and origin.

2. Traditional paremias are still abundantly used; yet, their former typical syntactic constructions, grammatical and lexical forms are increasingly replaced by simpler syntax and more contemporary grammar, i.e., they are used in somewhat modified forms and rather in the entertainment sphere or for the purpose of drawing attention.

3. The creation of anti-paremias is an especially remarkable feature of the contemporary usage. Part of them are closely related to traditional paremias, whereas others have acquired a completely independent and different meaning. The majority of Lithuanian anti-paremias have been created by means of lexical substitution.

4. New paremias of various origins have not been regarded as paremias in Lithuanian tradition, nor have they been included in paremic indices; yet, the corpus of Lithuanian paremias has been significantly enriched by loan translations originating mainly from mass culture.

5. The formation of the corpus of Lithuanian paremias has been remarkably influenced by social, economic and cultural changes in society, as well as by linguistic interactions.

6. The innovative transformations in Lithuanian paremias are part and parcel of global processes.

As the author has argued, the accumulated data reflects the current usage of paremias and opens up new perspectives for further broader interdisciplinary research. The global character of innovative linguistic transformations should encourage debates about general social and cultural tendencies, as well as about linguistic and cultural identity.

Anneli Baran
EUROPHRAS 2012 IN MARIBOR, SLOVENIA

The EUROPHRAS conference is organised by the European Society of Phraseology every second year. The conference is the most important international academic event in the field of paremiology. In 2012 it took place on August 27−31 in Maribor, which is the second largest town in Slovenia. The University of Maribor welcomed the conference with over one hundred presentations. The conference theme was Phraseology and Culture, with the focus on the cultural, historical, intercultural, semantic and semiotic aspects of phraseology in language communication. The papers were divided into five subthemes: Phraseology and Culture (In medias res); Phraseology and Symbol (Nomen est omen); Phraseology in Interlingual and Intercultural Contact (Nosce te ipsum); Phraseology in Dictionaries and Corpora (Libri amici, libri magistri); Phraseology in Language Teaching and Training (Usus magister est optimus). The concept of phraseology could be replaced with paremiology as at the conference phraseology was understood rather broadly. The conference programme consisted of plenary sessions, thematic sessions, workshops and book, project and poster presentations. The programme can be found online at http://www.europhrasmaribor.si/, where also the abstracts of the seven plenary sessions are available.

This year the invited speakers were Harald Burger from Zürich (Kulturelles “Wissen” in der Phraseologie); the president of the European Society of Phraseology Jarmo Korhonen from Helsinki (Interdisziplinarität im Dienste der Phraseologieforschung); Erika Kržišnik from Ljubljana (Phraseologie als sprachwissenschaftliche Disziplin im slowenischen sprachwissenschaftlichen Raum); Annelies Häcki Buhofer from Basel (Kann und soll der Erwerb von Phraseologismen gefördert werden und wenn ja, wie?); Dmitrij Dobrovol’skiy from Moscow (Kultursemiotik und Phraseologie); František Čermák from Prague (Phraseology and Idiomatics: Substance and Vagaries of Views) and Kathrin Steyer from Mannheim (Syntagmen – Muster – Schemata. Neue Perspektiven für Phraseologie und Parömiologie).

Unfortunately, the eighth invited speaker Wolfgang Mieder from Burlington, Vermont, was unable to attend the conference; yet, his abstract is available on the website (“Aller Anfang ist Gefahr.” Friedrich Nietzsche’s sprichwörtliche Aphorismen in Menschliches, Allzumenschliches). From the participant’s and listener’s point of view, the plenary papers were not easy to situate in the subthemes of the conference. They predominantly represented the special interest of the speaker or something that the speaker wanted to take up.

As concerns language, merely the variation of languages makes one breathless. The official languages in Maribor were German, English and Slovene. This means that most of the information and official speeches were given in these languages. The official languages of the European Society of Phraseology are German, English, French and Spanish. The research subjects considered even more languages: Russian, Hungarian, Swedish, Polish, Croatian, Italian, Japanese, Estonian, Finnish and others. When you met a group of participants talking to each other, it was at least in two different languages. It was nice to notice that even in an academic atmosphere the most important thing was to understand and to be understood, no matter what language you used and how well you knew the grammar. The fruitful moments in this kind of conferences include papers, plenary sessions and breaks; all of them are needed.
In this conference there were also some book, project and poster presentations. A new feature was the Dissertation forum, where the speakers (about ten participants) were doctoral students presenting their ongoing study for their doctoral theses. Although the speakers were still engaged in the process of research, many of the listeners had a notable career in academic life. This forum is supposed to continue in the next meetings. Another notable innovation in the programme was the workshops. There were five altogether: two were mainly in German (Extraktion der festen und usuellen Wortverbindungen aus Korpora; Phraseodidaktik) and three in English (The Influence of English on the Phraseology of European Languages; Formulaic Constructions in Dialogue; Cognitive and Linguistic Economy). More information about the themes of the workshops is to be found on the websites of EUROPHRAS. Both of these innovations, the dissertation forum and workshops, present models for action that could be worth following in the future also in other conferences. For the participants the dissertation forum was a possibility to have a glance at the future doctoral theses as well as to learn something about the interests of young researchers. For the doctoral students this was a valuable possibility to actively participate in an international conference. The workshops offered an opportunity to participate in the discussions more than only with ordinary paper presentations. Every now and then the conversation changed direction and even some new ideas emerged from old subjects. This was possible because in the programme a four hour session was reserved for the workshops.

In 2012 Maribor is one of the European Capitals of Culture, which could be seen all over the town and its surroundings. Visitors had many opportunities to see, feel, listen and taste the various cultural elements of Slovenian life. Although the conference days were long and fully packed, the last day offered an opportunity to get acquainted with both the culture and agriculture of Slovenia.

The next EUROPHRAS conference will take place in two years’ time in Sousse, Tunisia. In the meantime, an international conference participated by the EUROPHRAS society, Phraseology in Multilingual Society, will convene at Kazan Federal University in August 2013 (http://kazan2013.wix.com/europhras).

Liisa Granbom-Herranen